Supersedes:	Previous SOPs
Prepared by:	SOP Team 2019
Reviewed by:	Dr. Manuel Emerson Donaldo
Reviewed Date:	December 14, 2018
Approved by:	Ma. Nona A. Velez, RN, MN
Date Approved	December 20, 2018
Date Effective:	January 2, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Policy Statement

An expedited review shall be conducted for study protocols that (1) do not entail more than minimal risk to the study participants, and (2) do not have study participants belonging to a vulnerable group, and (3) does not generate vulnerability. The results of the initial review shall be released to principal investigator within four weeks after the submission of all the required documents.

2. Objective

This SOP discuss the processes of review of studies that do not entail more than minimal risk to the study participants, do not have study participants belonging to a vulnerable group, and does not generate vulnerability aims to demonstrate due diligence and high standards in the system of protection of human participants.

3. Scope:

This SOP applies to initial and post-approval submissions on protocols which have been classified as not involving more than minimal risk to study participants, whose participants do not belong to vulnerable groups, and whose study situations does not generate vulnerability to participants. This SOP begins with the assignment of reviewers or independent consultant/s and ends with the inclusion of the review in the agenda of the next meeting.

4. Responsibilities

It is the responsibility of the IRB members, officers, and secretariat to understand and implement this SOP of the CIM-CVGH IRB.

5. Workflow

ACTIVITY	***RESPONSIBILITY***	***TIMELINE***
Step 1: *Assign primary reviewers (medical / scientific and a non-medical / nonscientific members).*	*Member-Secretary / Chair*	*4 weeks*
Step 2: *Notification of the Primary Reviewers*	*IRB Secretariat*	
Step 3. *Provision of documents and evaluation form to reviewers:*	*IRB Secretariat*	
Step 4: *Return the accomplished assessment forms to the Secretariat.*	*Primary Reviewers*	
Step 5: *Finalization of Review Results*	*Primary Reviewers*	
Step 6: *Communicate the IRB decision to the PI (SOP # 6.2)*	*IRB Secretariat*	
Step 7: *Filing of documents in the protocol file (SOP on Management of Active Files - SOP #7.2)*	*Secretariat*	
Step 8: *Inclusion of the Review in the Agenda of the next meeting (SOP on Preparing the Meeting Agenda - SOP #5.2)*	*IRB Secretariat*	

6. Description of Procedures

Step 1: *Assign primary reviewers (medical / scientific and a non-medical / nonscientific members).*

- The Chair/Member-Secretary designates at least two IRB members to be the primary reviewers for new protocols submitted. One reviewer for the Protocol Evaluation and another (lay or nonscientific/non-medical member) for the ICF assessment.
- Primary reviewers are selected on the basis of expertise related to the protocol.
- If the IRB membership does not have the needed expertise, the Chair/Member Secretary chooses from the roster of Independent Consultant. If none is available a consultant with the needed expertise is recruited as per SOP on Selection of Independent Consultant (SOP No. 1.3).

Step 2: *Notification of Reviewers or Independent Consultant/s*

- The IRB Secretariat shall inform the primary reviewers by phone call and text within two days after the receipt of the complete protocol package. The reviewers shall determine his/her conflict of interest, availability, and suitability. The primary reviewers shall respond through call to IRB Secretariat within two days after notice.

Step 3. *Provision of documents and evaluation form to reviewers:*

- The IRB Secretariat shall provide the primary reviewers with the initial protocol review package which consists of all the documents in the initial protocol package plus blank copies of the Study Assessment Forms (Form 2.3: Protocol Evaluation Form, and Form 2.4: Informed Consent Assessment Form), and letter or approval from the technical review board. For resubmissions under expedited, a completed From 2.5 Resubmission Form should be included.
- These documents will be hand carried and delivered to the Primary Reviewers by a messenger. An electronic copy may be emailed to the reviewer upon request.
- The timeline from receipt of complete package to distribution to primary reviewers is within 7 calendar days.

Step 4: *Return the accomplished assessment forms to the Secretariat.*

- The reviewers will review the protocol and fill up the assessment form in a comprehensive manner.
- The forms shall be returned to the Secretariat during the next IRB meeting for filing

Step 5: *Finalization of Review Results*

- The review results will be consolidated by the IRB reviewer whose area of expertise is most needed in the review of documents.

- The results of the expedited approval shall be presented during the IRB meeting by the primary reviewer. Difference of/or contesting opinion will be entertained. Should there be a need of further discussion the protocol will then be discussed under full board.
- The final results will be reported and signed by the Primary Reviewer and the Chair

Step 6: *Communicate the IRB decision to the PI*

- See SOP on Communicating IRB decisions (SOP 6.2).

Step 7: *Filing of documents in the protocol file (SOP on Management of Active Files (SOP# 7.2)*

Step 8: *Inclusion of the Review in the Agenda of the next meeting (SOP on Preparing the Meeting Agenda - SOP #5.2)*

7. Forms

Form 2.1: Review Application Form
Form 2.2: Protocol Summary Sheet
Form 2.3: Protocol Evaluation Form
Form 2.4: Informed Consent Assessment Form
Form 2.5: Resubmission Form

8. History of SOP

Version No.	***Date***	***Authors***	***Main Change***
01	*Nov 16, 2016*	*IRB MEMBERS*	*FIRST DRAFT*
02	*May 3, 2018*	*IRB MEMBERS*	*Formatting; Annex / Forms included*

9. References

- International Ethical Guidelines for Health-related Research Involving Humans Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) 2016
- Philippine Health Research Ethics Board (PHREB) Workbook 2015
- World Health Organization, Operational Guidelines for Ethics Committees that Review Biomedical Research, 2000.
- International Conference on Harmonization, Guidance on Good Clinical Practice (ICH GCP) 1996.

ANNEX 1

CIM-CVGH

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD
79 F. RAMOS ST., CEBU CITY
Tel. 253-7413 Fax. (63-32) 253-9127

Review Application Form

Form 2.1

APPLICATION FORM FOR REVIEW

Sponsor Protocol Number:		IRB Protocol Number:	
Type of Submission:	☐ Initial Review ☐ Resubmission for re-review ☐ Protocol Amendments	☐ Continuing Review ☐ Protocol Termination ☐ Final Report	
Submission Date:			
Protocol Title:			
Principal Investigator:			
Telephone number:		**Fax**	
E-mail:		Preferred Contact	
Institute:			
Investigator Initiated:			
Sponsor Initiated		Name of Sponsor	
Conflict of Interest Declaration (Relationship with sponsor)	Are you a regular employee of the sponsor? Did you do consultancy or part time work for the sponsor? In the past year, did you receive money or gifts from the sponsor? Other ties with the sponsor	☐ **Yes** ☐ **Yes** ☐ **Yes** ☐ **Yes**	☐ **No** ☐ **No** ☐ **No** ☐ **No**
PI Signature:			
Documents submitted: (Please Check)			
☐ Protocol summary ☐ Patient information form ☐ Informed consent form ☐ Advertisement ☐ Investigator brochure ☐ Protocol summary ☐ Case report forms (CRF) ☐ Research team list		☐ CVs ☐ GCP certificates ☐ Study budget ☐ Revised protocol ☐ Revised consent form ☐ Amendments ☐ Research team list ☐ Questionnaire	
Type of Research			
Phase of Study			
☐ Survey ☐ Social ☐ Medical ☐ Community Based ☐ Individual Based ☐ Screening ☐ Observational ☐ Epidemiology ☐ Interventional Study ☐ Clinical Trial: ☐ Phase I ☐ Phase II ☐ Phase III ☐ Phase IV ☐ Genetic Study ☐ Retrospective ☐ Prospective ☐ Others_____ ☐ Single center ☐ Multicenter ☐ Others_____			
Study Duration			
Received by:			
Primary Reviewer_____ Type of Review : ☐ Exempt ☐ Expedited ☐ Full Board :			

ANNEX 2

CIM-CVGH

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD
79 F. RAMOS ST., CEBU CITY
Tel. 253-7413 Fax. (63-32) 253-9127

Protocol Summary Sheet

Form 2.2

PROTOCOL SUMMARY SHEET

IRB Reference Number:		**Submitted date:**	
Type of Submission:	☐ Initial Review ☐ Resubmission for re-review ☐ Protocol Amendments	☐ Continuing Review ☐ Study Termination ☐ Final Report	
Protocol Title:			
Principal Investigator:			
Telephone number/s:			
Sub Investigator:			
Telephone number/s:			
Fax :			
E-mail:		**Preferred Contact**	☐ Phone ☐ Fax ☐ E-mail
Department affiliated			
Documents submitted:	**Provide details (May include version number and date of submitted version)**		
☐ Protocol summary			
☐ Patient information form			
☐ Informed consent form			
☐ Advertisement			
☐ Investigator brochure			
☐ Protocol summary			
☐ Case report forms (CRF)			
☐ Amendments			
☐ CVs			
☐ Others			
Received by:	**Signature**		
	Full Name		
Date received:			
Note: *Please keep the duplicate copy of the form and submit the original with the package upon submission.*			
DO NOT FILL UP BEYOND THIS			
TYPE OF REVIEW			

ANNEX 3

CIM-CVGH

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD
79 F. RAMOS ST., CEBU CITY
Tel. 032-416-2764 Fax. (63-32) 253-9127

FORM 2.3:

PROTOCOL EVALUATION FORM

	IRB REFERENCE NO.	I R B - -
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR (P.I.)	SPONSOR	DATE OF REVIEW
P.I. CONTACT NO.	P.I. EMAIL-ADDRESS	
PROTOCOL NO. & TITLE		

QUESTIONS			Comments/Remarks
1) Are the objectives clear?	Y☐	N☐	
2) Is there a need for human participants?	Y☐	N☐	
3) Is the background information sufficient?	Y☐	N☐	
4) Is the study design appropriate for the objectives?	Y☐	N☐	
• Are the control arms appropriate? (for clinical trials)	Y☐	N☐	
5) Is the approximate number of subjects involved in the trial specified?	Y☐	N☐	
• Are the inclusion criteria appropriate?	Y☐	N☐	
• Is the proposed subject population appropriate for the nature of the research?	Y☐	N☐	
• Has the IRB taken into account any special vulnerability among prospective subjects that might be relevant to evaluating the risk of participation?	Y☐	N☐	
• Are the exclusion criteria appropriate?	Y☐	N☐	
• Are there any groups of people who might be more susceptible to the risks presented by the study and who therefore ought to be excluded from the research?	Y☐	N☐	
6) Is the setting of the study clearly identified?	Y☐	N☐	
• Are the facilities and infrastructure of the participating sites adequate?	Y☐	N☐	
• Is the duration of the study specified?	Y☐	N☐	
7) Are the procedures to be done in the study clearly described and understandable?	Y☐	N☐	
• Are blood/tissue samples sent abroad?	Y☐	N☐	
8) Are research data recorded and maintained with strict confidentiality?	Y☐	N☐	

CIM-CVGH

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD
79 F. RAMOS ST., CEBU CITY
Tel. 032-416-2764 Fax. (63-32) 253-9127

FORM 2.3:

PROTOCOL EVALUATION FORM

9)	Considering the degree of risk, is the plan for monitoring the research appropriate and adequate in terms of timeliness and thoroughness?	Y☐	N☐	
10)	If the principal investigator is other than full-time on the project, is the oversight and monitoring time sufficient?	Y☐	N☐	
11)	Is the mechanism for providing information to the IRB in the event that unexpected results are discovered appropriate?	A☐	N☐	
12)	If the research involves the evaluation of a therapeutic procedure, have the risks and benefits of the research interventions been evaluated separately from those of the therapeutic interventions?	Y☐	N☐	
13)	Has due care been used to minimize risks and maximize the likelihood of benefits?	Y☐	N☐	
14)	Are there adequate provisions for a continuing reassessment of the balance between risks and benefits?	Y☐	N☐	
15)	Does the institution have a data and safety monitoring board?	Y☐	N☐	
	a. If so, should it be asked to monitor the project under review?	Y☐	N☐	
	b. If the institution does not have a data and safety monitoring board, should the IRB request or recommend that one be appointed, either by the institution or the sponsor, for this project?	Y☐	N☐	

Recommendations:

- ☐ Approve
- ☐ Minor Modifications
- ☐ Major Modifications
- ☐ Disapprove

Primary Reviewer

_____
Name & Signature / Date

ANNEX 4

CIM-CVGH

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD
79 F. RAMOS ST., CEBU CITY
Tel. 032-416-2764 Fax. (63-32) 253-9127

FORM 2.4:

INFORMED CONSENT ASSESSMENT FORM

IRB REFERENCE NO. ☐☐☐☐☐☐-☐☐☐-☐☐

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR	SPONSOR	DATE OF REVIEW
PROTOCOL NO. & TITLE		
Primary Reviewer:		Date:_____

☐ Applicable ☐ Not Applicable

QUESTIONS			Comments/Remarks
1) Is there a statement saying the study involves research?	Y☐	N☐	
2) Is the purpose of the trial clearly stated?	Y☐	N☐	
3) Is there an explanation to the subjects why they were included in the study?	Y☐	N☐	
4) Are there provisions ensuring that the subject's participation in the trial is voluntary?	Y☐	N☐	
5) Is the subject well-informed of his/her responsibilities? *(This includes providing health information including symptoms or any changes made in her regimen.)*	Y☐	N☐	
6) Is the language and presentation of the information to be conveyed appropriate to the subject population? *(Consider the level of complexity and the need for translation into a language other than English.)*	Y☐	N☐	
7) For clinical trials, are the trial treatment[s] and the probability for random assignment to each treatment arm explained?	Y☐	N☐	
8) Is the expected duration of the subject's participation in the trial specified?	Y☐	N☐	
9) Is the approximate number of study subject stated?	Y☐	N☐	
10) For experimental studies is the nature of the experiment explained well?	Y☐	N☐	
11) For studies using placebo is the use of placebo ethically applicable?	Y☐	N☐	
12) Is detailed explanation of the procedures or tests that are new or not widely used or combinations/doses of drugs never tested before provided to the subject?	Y☐	N☐	
13) Are the proposed explanations of the research appropriate and adequate to provide the subject an accurate assessment of its risks and anticipated benefits?	Y☐	N☐	
14) Are the risks to the study participants disclosed?	Y☐	N☐	
15) Are the potential adverse events disclosed?	Y☐	N☐	
16) Are the possible benefits to the participants discussed?	Y☐	N☐	

CIM-CVGH

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD
79 F. RAMOS ST., CEBU CITY
Tel. 032-416-2764 Fax. (63-32) 253-9127

FORM 2.4:

INFORMED CONSENT ASSESSMENT FORM

QUESTIONS		Comments/Remarks
17) Are the potential benefit to the Community discussed? 18) Are there lists of alternative procedure(s) or course(s) of treatment that may be available to the subject and their important potential benefits and risks?	Y☐ N☐	
19) Are these any anticipated expenses to the subject in the course of the study?	N☐	
20) Is there a compensation and/or treatment available to the subject in the event of trial-related injury? Is there a person to contact in the event of trial-related injury?	Y☐ N☐ Y☐ N☐	
21) Is there a person to contact for further information regarding the trial and the rights of the trial subjects?	Y☐ N☐	
22) Do other groups of potential subjects have a greater need to receive any of the anticipated benefits?	Y☐ N☐	
23) Whether they finish the study or not, are the subjects compensated on a per visit basis for trial related expenses?	Y☐ N☐	
24) Will the subject or the subject's legally acceptable representative (LAR) be informed, in a timely manner, of any new available information which may be relevant to the subject's willingness to continue his/her participation?	Y☐ N☐	
25) Is the subject informed of his right to refuse to participate or withdraw from the trial, at any time, without penalty or loss of benefits to which the subject is otherwise entitled?	Y☐ N☐	
26) Is the subject informed of any foreseeable events and or reasons which may cause his/her participation in the trial to be terminated?	Y☐ N☐	
27) In the event of any information that will affect the willingness of the subject to participate, is re-consenting necessary or provided for?	Y☐ N☐	
28) Are the withdrawal criteria made known to the subject?	Y☐ N☐	
29) If a waiver of some or all of the consent requirements is requested, does the importance of the research justify such a waiver?	Y☐ N☐	
30) Are there provisions for medical / psychosocial support if applicable?	Y☐ N☐	
31) Does the research involve observation or intrusion in situations where the subjects have a reasonable expectation of privacy? Would reasonable people be offended by such an intrusion? Can the research be redesigned to avoid the intrusion? If privacy is to be invaded, does the importance of the research objective justify the intrusion? What if anything, will the subject be told later?	Y☐ N☐ Y☐ N☐ Y☐ N☐ Y☐ N☐	

CIM-CVGH

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD
79 F. RAMOS ST., CEBU CITY
Tel. 032-416-2764 Fax. (63-32) 253-9127

FORM 2.4:

INFORMED CONSENT ASSESSMENT FORM

32) Is there a mechanism for providing information to the IRB in the event that unexpected results are discovered? *(Unexpected results may raise the possibility of unanticipated risks to subjects)*	Y☐ N☐	
33) Is there a provision allowing consent from the subject for other monitors/ auditors/ IRB/IEC access to the subject's original medical record for verification purposes?	Y☐ N☐	
34) Are the records identifying the subject kept confidential and to the extent permitted by the applicable laws and/or regulations, not made available in public? Should the trial be published, will the subject's identity remain confidential?	Y☐ N☐ Y☐ N☐	
35) For genetic studies is there a discussion on the precautions in place to prevent disclosure of results without the subject's permission	Y☐ N☐	
36) Is the subject informed of the possible direct or secondary use of subject's medical records & biological specimen in the course of clinical care	Y☐ N☐	
37) Are plans in place to destroy collected biological specimen at the end of the study or details of storage and possible future discussed with the patient?	Y☐ N☐	

Recommendations:

- ☐ Approve
- ☐ Minor Modifications
- ☐ Major Modifications
- ☐ Disapprove

Primary Reviewer

_____
Name & Signature / Date

ANNEX 5

CIM-CVGH

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD
79 F. RAMOS ST., CEBU CITY
Tel. 253-7413 Fax. (63-32) 253-9127

PROTOCOL RESUBMISSION FORM
FORM 2.5

IRB REF. NO.		DATE SUBMITTED	

Protocol Title:	
Document to be revised	☐ Protocol ☐ Informed Consent ☐ Advertisement ☐ Composition of Research Team ☐ Others _____
Study Duration	
Sponsor:	
Principal Investigator:	
Telephone Number:	Fax:
Email:	Preferred means of contact ☐ Phone ☐ Fax ☐ Email
Institution	

IRB Recommendations	Revision made by the PI

PI Name & Signature: _____ DATE: _____

Received by IRB Secretariat: _____ DATE: _____